# organic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section E **Structure Reports** Online

ISSN 1600-5368

# 5-(4-Ethoxybenzyl)-1H-tetrazole

## Yun-Long Gao,<sup>a</sup> Gui-Long Zhao,<sup>b</sup> Hua Shao,<sup>b</sup> Wei Liu<sup>a</sup> and lian-wu Wang<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Shandong University, Jinan 250100, People's Republic of China, and <sup>b</sup>Tianjin Key Laboratory of Molecular Design and Drug Discovery, Tianjin Institute of Pharmaceutical Research, Tianjin 300193, People's Republic of China

Correspondence e-mail: yugp2005@yahoo.com.cn

Received 26 December 2009; accepted 28 December 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; T = 113 K; mean  $\sigma$ (C–C) = 0.002 Å; R factor = 0.043; wR factor = 0.103; data-to-parameter ratio = 12.5.

In the title molecule,  $C_{10}H_{12}N_4O$ , the tetrazole and benzene rings form a dihedral angle of  $67.52 (2)^{\circ}$ . In the crystal, intermolecular  $N-H \cdots N$  hydrogen bonds link the molecules into chains along the *a* axis. The relatively short distance of 3.760 (3) Å between the centroids of the tetrazole rings suggests the existence of  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions.

#### **Related literature**

For details of the biological activities of sodium-glucose cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors, see: Arakawa et al. (2001); Meng et al. (2008). For bond-length data, see: Allen et al. (1987).



#### **Experimental**

#### Crystal data

C10H12N4O V = 1003.2 (3) Å<sup>3</sup>  $M_r = 204.24$ Z = 4Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation  $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ a = 4.9291 (10) Åb = 18.145 (4) Å T = 113 Kc = 11.363 (2) Å  $0.34 \times 0.06 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$  $\beta = 99.19(3)^{\circ}$ 

#### Data collection

Rigaku Saturn CCD area-detector diffractometer Absorption correction: multi-scan (CrystalClear; Rigaku, 2007)  $T_{\min} = 0.969, \ T_{\max} = 0.996$ 

#### Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	independent and constrained
S = 1.10	refinement
1768 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
142 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.34 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
1 restraint	

6870 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.048$ 

1768 independent reflections

1487 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

# Table 1

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$N1 - H1 \cdots N4^i$	0.91 (1)	1.90 (1)	2.7897 (16)	166 (1)
a				

Symmetry code: (i) x + 1, y, z.

Data collection: CrystalClear (Rigaku, 2007); cell refinement: CrystalClear; data reduction: CrystalClear; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Sheldrick, 2008); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: CV2684).

#### References

- Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G., Brammer, L., Orpen, A. G. & Taylor, R. (1987). J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2, pp. S1-19.
- Arakawa, K., Ishihara, T., Oku, A., Nawano, M., Ueta, K., Kitamura, K., Matsumoto, M. & Saito, A. (2001). Br. J. Pharmacol. 132, 578-586.
- Meng, M., Ellsworth, B. A., Nirschl, A. A., McCann, P. J., Patel, M., Girotra, R. N., Wu, G., Sher, P. M., Morrison, E. P., Biller, S. A., Zahler, R., Deshpande, P. P., Pullockaran, A., Hagan, D. L., Morgan, N. N., Taylor, J. R., Obermeier, M. T., Humphreys, W. G., Khanna, A., Discenza, L., Robertson, J. M., Wang, A., Han, S., Wetterau, J. R., Janovitz, E. B., Flint, O. P., Whaley, J. M. &

Washburn, W. N. (2008). J. Med. Chem. 51, 1145-1149. Rigaku (2007). CrystalClear. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.

Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112-122.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2010). E66, o322 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809055597]

## 5-(4-Ethoxybenzyl)-1H-tetrazole

# Y.-L. Gao, G.-L. Zhao, H. Shao, W. Liu and J. Wang

#### Comment

Sodium-Glucose Cotransporter 2 (SGLT2) inhibitors constitute a new class of antidiabetic agents (Arakawa *et al.*, 2001; Meng *et al.*, 2008). The title compound, (I), was prepared as an intermediate of a new class of SGLT2 inhibitors designed in our laboratories.

In (I) (Fig. 1), all bond lengths in the molecular are normal (Allen *et al.*, 1987). Atoms O1/C2/C9/C10 lie in the benzene ring (C3—C8) plane with a maximum deviation of 0.045 (2) Å for O1. The tetrazole ring (N1—N4/C1) forms the dihedral angle of 67.52 (2)  $^{\circ}$  with the benzene ring (C3—C8).

In the crystal structure, relatively short distance of 3.760 (3) Å between the centroids of tetrazole rings suggests an existence of  $\pi$ — $\pi$  interactions. Intermolecular N—H···N hydrogen bonds (Table 1) link the molecules related by translation along axis *a* into chains.

#### Experimental

A round-bottomed flask was charged with 1.61 g (10 mmol) of 4-ethoxyphenylacetonitrile, 3.25 g (50 mmol) of sodium azide and 2.67 g (50 mmol) of ammonium chloride and 50 ml of DMF, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 120 ° C for 15 h. On complete cooling, the mixture was filtered to remove the existing solid and the filtrate was evaporated on a rotary evaporator equipped with an oil pump, and the residue was dissolved in 100 ml of water. The aqueous solution thus obtained was adjusted to pH = 2 with concentrated hydrochloric acid, when it turned turbid. This turbid mixture was cooled with ice-water bath and stirred for complete crystallization. The precipitated crystals were collected *via* suction filtration and dried at 60° C *in vacuo* to afford the title product as white crystals 1.68 g (yield 82.3%). Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction were obtained *via* slow evaporation at room temperature of a solution of the pure title compound in dichloromethane/petroleum ether.

#### Refinement

All H atoms were found on difference maps. C-bound H atoms were placed in idealized positions (C—H 0.93 - 0.97 Å), and included in the final cycles of refinement using a riding model, with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for aryl and methylene and  $1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for the methyl H atoms. The N-bound H atom was refined isotropically.

#### **Figures**



Fig. 1. The molecular structure of (I) with the atomic labels and 40% probability displacement ellipsoids.

# 5-(4-Ethoxybenzyl)-1*H*-tetrazole

# Crystal data

C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O  $M_r = 204.24$ Monoclinic,  $P2_1/n$ Hall symbol: -P 2yn a = 4.9291 (10) Å b = 18.145 (4) Å c = 11.363 (2) Å  $\beta = 99.19$  (3)° V = 1003.2 (3) Å<sup>3</sup> Z = 4

#### Data collection

Rigaku Saturn CCD area-detector diffractometer	1768 independent reflections
Radiation source: rotating anode	1487 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
multilayer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.048$
Detector resolution: 14.63 pixels mm <sup>-1</sup>	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 25.0^{\circ}, \ \theta_{\text{min}} = 2.1^{\circ}$
$\omega$ and $\phi$ scans	$h = -5 \rightarrow 5$
Absorption correction: multi-scan ( <i>CrystalClear</i> ; Rigaku, 2007)	$k = -21 \rightarrow 19$
$T_{\min} = 0.969, \ T_{\max} = 0.996$	$l = -13 \rightarrow 13$
6870 measured reflections	

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.043$	H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement
	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_0^2) + (0.067P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.103$	where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
<i>S</i> = 1.10	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.004$
1768 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{max} = 0.24 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
142 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{min} = -0.34 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
1 restraint	Extinction correction: <i>SHELXL97</i> (Sheldrick, 2008), Fc <sup>*</sup> =kFc[1+0.001xFc <sup>2</sup> $\lambda^3$ /sin(2 $\theta$ )] <sup>-1/4</sup>
Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods	Extinction coefficient: 0.354 (18)

sup-2

F(000) = 432  $D_x = 1.352 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, \lambda = 0.71073 Å Cell parameters from 3598 reflections  $\theta = 1.1-27.9^{\circ}$   $\mu = 0.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$  T = 113 KNeedle, colourless  $0.34 \times 0.06 \times 0.04 \text{ mm}$ 

## Special details

**Geometry**. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

**Refinement**. Refinement of  $F^2$  against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on  $F^2$ , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative  $F^2$ . The threshold expression of  $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$  is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on  $F^2$  are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters  $(A^2)$ 

	x	У	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}*/U_{\rm eq}$
01	0.09591 (18)	0.17311 (5)	0.48558 (8)	0.0241 (3)
N1	1.0194 (2)	0.48750 (6)	0.33955 (10)	0.0214 (3)
N2	0.9379 (2)	0.55573 (6)	0.36698 (11)	0.0252 (3)
N3	0.6749 (2)	0.55229 (5)	0.36251 (11)	0.0242 (3)
N4	0.5824 (2)	0.48270 (5)	0.33271 (10)	0.0211 (3)
C1	0.8013 (2)	0.44332 (7)	0.31894 (11)	0.0187 (3)
C2	0.8099 (3)	0.36438 (7)	0.28353 (12)	0.0225 (4)
H2A	0.9937	0.3456	0.3100	0.027*
H2B	0.7744	0.3613	0.1972	0.027*
C3	0.6058 (3)	0.31536 (7)	0.33333 (12)	0.0195 (3)
C4	0.5715 (3)	0.32048 (7)	0.45271 (12)	0.0234 (3)
H4	0.6676	0.3562	0.5012	0.028*
C5	0.3974 (3)	0.27333 (7)	0.49957 (12)	0.0243 (4)
H5	0.3739	0.2781	0.5788	0.029*
C6	0.2566 (2)	0.21864 (7)	0.42871 (12)	0.0198 (3)
C7	0.2858 (2)	0.21280 (7)	0.30957 (11)	0.0204 (3)
H7	0.1916	0.1766	0.2614	0.024*
C8	0.4583 (3)	0.26196 (7)	0.26324 (12)	0.0206 (3)
H8	0.4748	0.2588	0.1830	0.025*
C9	-0.0624 (3)	0.11821 (7)	0.41507 (12)	0.0244 (4)
H9A	0.0578	0.0838	0.3832	0.029*
H9B	-0.1819	0.1410	0.3490	0.029*
C10	-0.2311 (3)	0.07851 (8)	0.49534 (14)	0.0319 (4)
H10A	-0.1106	0.0560	0.5601	0.048*
H10B	-0.3407	0.0412	0.4505	0.048*
H10C	-0.3489	0.1131	0.5264	0.048*
H1	1.197 (2)	0.4782 (8)	0.3340 (13)	0.030 (4)*

Atomic displacement parameters  $(Å^2)$ 

	$U^{11}$	U <sup>22</sup>	$U^{33}$	$U^{12}$	$U^{13}$	$U^{23}$
O1	0.0280 (6)	0.0230 (5)	0.0223 (6)	-0.0068 (4)	0.0069 (4)	0.0006 (4)

# supplementary materials

N1	0.0145 (6)	0.0227 (6)	0.0272 (7)	0.0000 (5)	0.0042 (5)	0.0004 (5)
N2	0.0213 (6)	0.0226 (6)	0.0322 (7)	-0.0013 (5)	0.0056 (5)	-0.0012 (5)
N3	0.0212 (6)	0.0227 (6)	0.0292 (7)	-0.0017 (5)	0.0062 (5)	-0.0001 (5)
N4	0.0174 (6)	0.0204 (6)	0.0255 (7)	-0.0009 (5)	0.0037 (5)	-0.0005 (4)
C1	0.0161 (7)	0.0232 (7)	0.0170 (7)	-0.0019 (5)	0.0033 (5)	0.0031 (5)
C2	0.0184 (7)	0.0232 (7)	0.0270 (8)	0.0011 (5)	0.0066 (6)	-0.0001 (5)
C3	0.0165 (7)	0.0185 (7)	0.0239 (8)	0.0030 (5)	0.0042 (6)	0.0025 (5)
C4	0.0241 (8)	0.0220 (7)	0.0232 (8)	-0.0034 (5)	0.0009 (6)	-0.0033 (5)
C5	0.0292 (8)	0.0262 (7)	0.0177 (8)	-0.0028 (6)	0.0047 (6)	-0.0009 (5)
C6	0.0184 (7)	0.0182 (7)	0.0228 (8)	0.0006 (5)	0.0034 (6)	0.0033 (5)
C7	0.0200 (7)	0.0195 (7)	0.0211 (8)	-0.0007 (5)	0.0017 (6)	-0.0020 (5)
C8	0.0222 (7)	0.0220 (7)	0.0183 (8)	0.0036 (5)	0.0055 (6)	-0.0001 (5)
C9	0.0237 (7)	0.0204 (7)	0.0284 (9)	-0.0029 (6)	0.0022 (6)	0.0011 (5)
C10	0.0268 (8)	0.0277 (8)	0.0418 (10)	-0.0040 (6)	0.0077 (7)	0.0055 (6)

Geometric parameters (Å, °)

O1—C6	1.3753 (15)	C4—C5	1.3778 (18)
O1—C9	1.4296 (16)	C4—H4	0.9300
N1—C1	1.3313 (16)	C5—C6	1.3914 (18)
N1—N2	1.3533 (15)	С5—Н5	0.9300
N1—H1	0.906 (9)	C6—C7	1.3881 (18)
N2—N3	1.2908 (16)	C7—C8	1.3922 (18)
N3—N4	1.3666 (14)	С7—Н7	0.9300
N4—C1	1.3244 (16)	С8—Н8	0.9300
C1—C2	1.4903 (18)	C9—C10	1.5113 (18)
C2—C3	1.5184 (17)	С9—Н9А	0.9700
C2—H2A	0.9700	С9—Н9В	0.9700
C2—H2B	0.9700	C10—H10A	0.9600
C3—C8	1.3845 (18)	C10—H10B	0.9600
C3—C4	1.3965 (18)	C10—H10C	0.9600
C6—O1—C9	117.34 (10)	С4—С5—Н5	119.9
C1—N1—N2	109.29 (10)	С6—С5—Н5	119.9
C1—N1—H1	129.7 (10)	O1—C6—C7	124.72 (12)
N2—N1—H1	120.9 (10)	O1—C6—C5	115.34 (11)
N3—N2—N1	106.26 (10)	C7—C6—C5	119.94 (12)
N2—N3—N4	110.35 (10)	C6—C7—C8	118.98 (12)
C1—N4—N3	106.37 (10)	С6—С7—Н7	120.5
N4—C1—N1	107.74 (11)	С8—С7—Н7	120.5
N4—C1—C2	127.53 (11)	C3—C8—C7	121.81 (12)
N1—C1—C2	124.71 (11)	С3—С8—Н8	119.1
C1—C2—C3	114.42 (10)	С7—С8—Н8	119.1
C1—C2—H2A	108.7	O1—C9—C10	107.31 (11)
C3—C2—H2A	108.7	O1—C9—H9A	110.3
C1—C2—H2B	108.7	С10—С9—Н9А	110.3
С3—С2—Н2В	108.7	O1—C9—H9B	110.3
H2A—C2—H2B	107.6	С10—С9—Н9В	110.3
C8—C3—C4	118.14 (11)	Н9А—С9—Н9В	108.5
C8—C3—C2	120.93 (12)	С9—С10—Н10А	109.5

C4—C3—C2	120.86 (12)	C9—C10—H10B	109.5
C5—C4—C3	120.89 (12)	H10A—C10—H10B	109.5
С5—С4—Н4	119.6	C9—C10—H10C	109.5
С3—С4—Н4	119.6	H10A—C10—H10C	109.5
C4—C5—C6	120.20 (13)	H10B-C10-H10C	109.5
C1—N1—N2—N3	-0.13 (14)	C2—C3—C4—C5	-176.44 (11)
N1—N2—N3—N4	0.10 (14)	C3—C4—C5—C6	1.3 (2)
N2—N3—N4—C1	-0.03 (14)	C9—O1—C6—C7	-3.22 (17)
N3—N4—C1—N1	-0.05 (14)	C9—O1—C6—C5	177.21 (11)
N3—N4—C1—C2	-178.47 (12)	C4—C5—C6—O1	177.84 (11)
N2—N1—C1—N4	0.12 (15)	C4—C5—C6—C7	-1.75 (19)
N2—N1—C1—C2	178.59 (12)	O1—C6—C7—C8	-179.16 (11)
N4—C1—C2—C3	-36.43 (19)	C5—C6—C7—C8	0.39 (18)
N1—C1—C2—C3	145.41 (13)	C4—C3—C8—C7	-1.88 (18)
C1—C2—C3—C8	138.01 (13)	C2—C3—C8—C7	175.05 (11)
C1—C2—C3—C4	-45.14 (17)	C6—C7—C8—C3	1.45 (19)
C8—C3—C4—C5	0.49 (19)	C6—O1—C9—C10	-177.01 (10)

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	<i>D</i> —Н	H…A	$D \cdots A$	D—H··· $A$
N1—H1···N4 <sup>i</sup>	0.91 (1)	1.90 (1)	2.7897 (16)	166.(1)
Symmetry codes: (i) $x+1$ , $y$ , $z$ .				

Fig. 1

